arXiv:1409.2791v5 [math.FA] 4 May 2016

FREDHOLM OPERATORS IN THE TOEPLITZ ALGEBRA $\mathcal{I}(QC)$

ADAM ORENSTEIN

Abstract. We will give a complete description of $\mathcal{I}$, the set of invertible quasicontinuous functions on the unit circle. After doing this, we will then classify the path-connected components of $\mathcal{I}$ and show that $\mathcal{I}$ has uncountably many path-connected components. We will then use the above classifications to characterize $\mathcal{F}$, the set of Fredholm operators of the C*-algebra generated by the Toeplitz operators T_ϕ with quasicontinuous symbols ϕ. Then we will classify the path-connected components of $\mathcal{F}$ and show that $\mathcal{F}$ also has uncountably many path-connected components.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the unit circle with the Lebesgue measure. Let $C(\mathbb{T})$ be the set of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\chi_n : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ be defined by

$$\chi_n(t) = e^{int}.$$

For $p = 1, 2, \infty$, let $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ be defined by

$$H^p(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}) : \int_0^{2\pi} f(t)\chi_n(t)dt = 0 \text{ for all } n > 0 \right\}.$$

The spaces $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ are called the Hardy spaces on $\mathbb{T}$. It is shown in [3, page 147] as proposition 6.36 that $H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})$ is a Banach subalgebra of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Let QC be the set defined by

$$QC = [H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})] \bigcap \overline{[H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})]}$$

where $\overline{H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})} = \{\overline{f} : f \in H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})\}$ [3, page 157]. A function in QC is called quasicontinuous. It is easy to show that QC is a commutative Banach subalgebra of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$.

Date: May 5, 2016.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B35; Secondary 47A53.
Key words and phrases. Toeplitz Algebra; Quasicontinuous functions; Fredholm Operators.

Let $\mathfrak{L}(H^2)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on H^2. Denote the operator norm on $\mathfrak{L}(H^2)$ by $\|\cdot\|$. For any $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, let T_f be the operator on H^2 defined by

$$T_f(g) = P(fg) \text{ for all } g \in H^2$$

where P is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ onto H^2. T_f is called the Toeplitz operator on H^2 with symbol f. Since $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, $T_f \in \mathfrak{L}(H^2)$ and $\|T_f\| = \|f\|_\infty$ [3, page 160]. For any subset S of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, let $\mathcal{I}(S)$ be the smallest closed subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L}(H^2)$ containing $\{T_f : f \in S\}$. $\mathcal{I}(S)$ is called a Toeplitz algebra.

Toeplitz algebras and Toeplitz operators on H^2 with quasicontinuous symbols have been studied by many different people in the literature. In particular the path-connected components of the Fredholm operators in $\mathcal{I}(C(\mathbb{T}))$ have been completely determined. The purpose of this paper is to do the same for $\mathcal{I}(QC)$. In doing this, we will have an example of a Toeplitz algebra whose Fredholm operators have uncountably many path-connected components. Until this paper, no such example has been published.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **More Notation.** Here we will establish some more notation. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. For any $D \subseteq \mathbb{T}$, let $|D|$ denote the Lebesgue measure of D on $\mathbb{T}$. Let $C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ be the subset of $C(\mathbb{T})$ consisting of all real-valued functions, $L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ be the subset of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ consisting of all real-valued functions and $QC_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the set of all real-valued functions in QC. We will also use the following conventions: for any two sets Q and B and for any function g, let $QB = \{cb : c \in Q, b \in B\}$, $gQ = \{g\}Q$, $Q \setminus B = \{q \in Q : q \notin B\}$, $Q + B = \{q + b : q \in Q, b \in B\}$ and $\exp(Q) = \{\exp(q) : q \in Q\}$.

2.2. **Important Functions.** Now we will define some important functions that we will use. Let $w \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Then following [5, page 56 and page 81] and [13, page 255] respectively, we define $\widetilde{w} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\widehat{w} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\widetilde{w}(\theta) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (-i \operatorname{sgn}(n)) a_w(n) \chi_n(\theta) \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$a_w(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} w(t)\chi_{-n}(t)dt \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\widehat{w}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} w(t) \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z}\right] dt. \tag{2.3}$$

We will call $\widetilde{w}$ the Hilbert Transform of w and $\widehat{w}$ the Poisson transform of w.

Another important function we will occasionally use is $\mathcal{C}(w) : \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(w)(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} w(t)\mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{e^{it}+z}{e^{it}-z}\right]dt \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D} \\ \mathcal{C}(w)(e^{i\theta}) &= \lim_{r\nearrow 1}\mathcal{C}(w)(re^{i\theta}) \text{ for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

[13, page 256]. As in [13, page 256], we will call $\mathcal{C}(w)$ the conjugation operator of w and the mapping $w \mapsto \mathcal{C}(w)$ the conjugation operator.

2.3. **Important Spaces.** In this section, we will define the spaces of functions that we will be working with. For any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and any subarc I of $\mathbb{T}$, let

$$f_I = \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I f(\theta)d\theta.$$

For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, define $\|f\|_{BMO}$ by

$$\|f\|_{BMO} = \sup\left\{\left[\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |f(\theta) - f_I|^2\, d\theta\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} : I \text{ is any subarc of } \mathbb{T}\right\}.$$

Let BMO and VMO be defined by

$$BMO = \left\{f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) : \|f\|_{BMO} < \infty\right\}$$

and

$$VMO = \left\{f \in BMO : \lim_{|I|\to 0}\left[\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |f(\theta) - f_I|^2\, d\theta\right] = 0\right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

We say BMO is the space of all functions in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ that have bounded mean oscillation on $\mathbb{T}$ and VMO is the space of all functions in BMO that have vanishing mean oscillation on $\mathbb{T}$. Here our definitions of BMO and VMO are taken from [13, page 266 and page 275]. We will also denote the set of all real-valued functions in VMO by $VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. In fact

$$QC = VMO \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \tag{2.6}$$

[4, page 377].

Note that some people use the conjugation operator instead of the Hilbert transform when working with BMO and VMO. However for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, Lemma 1.2 [4, page 103] and Theorem 1.6.11 [5, page 87] together yield

$$-\widetilde{f} = \mathcal{C}(f) \text{ [a.e] on } \mathbb{T}. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover as proved in [13, page 277],

$$VMO = C(\mathbb{T}) + \mathcal{C}\left(C(\mathbb{T})\right).$$

It is also easy to see that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and real-valued implies $\widetilde{f}$ is real-valued. Then by (2.7) and some straightforward calculations,

$$VMO = C(\mathbb{T}) + \widetilde{C(\mathbb{T})} \text{ and } VMO_{\mathbb{R}} = C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T}) + \widetilde{C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})}. \tag{2.8}$$

3. Fredholm Index

Let $\mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ be the set of all compact operators on H^2 and $\mathfrak{F}(H^2)$ be the set of all Fredholm operators on H^2. For any $A \in \mathfrak{L}(H^2)$ let A^* be the adjoint of A. Let $j : \mathfrak{F}(H^2) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by

$$j(A) = \dim(\ker(A)) - \dim(\ker(A^*)).$$

Then j is surjective, continuous with respect to the operator norm and for any $A, B \in \mathfrak{F}(H^2)$ and $K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$, we have $j(AB) = j(A) + j(B)$ and $j(A + K) = j(A)$ [3, page 123].

Let

$$(H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1} = \{f \in H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}) : f^{-1} \in H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})\}.$$

Following [3, page 169] and [8], we will work with the function ind : $(H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\operatorname{ind}(f) = n\left(\hat{f}_r\left(e^{i\theta}\right), 0\right)$$

where $\hat{f}_r\left(e^{i\theta}\right) = \widehat{f}(re^{i\theta})$ for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $n\left(\hat{f}_r(e^{i\theta}), 0\right)$ is the winding number of $\hat{f}_r\left(e^{i\theta}\right)$ about 0 for all $r > 0$ such that $r_0 < r < 1$ where $r_0 = r_0(f) > 0$ is as in Theorem 4 [8]. By both Corollary 7.34 [3, page 168] and Theorem 7.36 [3, page 169],

$$j(T_f) = -\operatorname{ind}(f) \text{ for all } f \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

It follows that ind is continuous with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. We will prove below that $\operatorname{ind}(fg) = \operatorname{ind}(f) + \operatorname{ind}(g)$ for all $f, g \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})^{-1}$.

4. Main Results

Recall above that $\mathfrak{I}(QC)$ be the C^*-algebra generated by $\{T_\phi : \phi \in QC\}$ and

$$\mathcal{I} = \{f \in QC : f^{-1} \in QC\}.$$

and $\mathcal{F}$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{F}(H^2) \cap \mathfrak{I}(QC).$$

In this paper we will completely classify $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, and to give a complete description of the path-connected components of $\mathcal{I}$ and of $\mathcal{F}$. More specifically, we will prove the following three theorems:

Theorem 4.1.

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\chi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp(QC_{\mathbb{R}}) \exp(iVMO_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Theorem 4.2. *For any $h \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, let*

$$P_h = \{\exp(ig) : g \in QC_{\mathbb{R}} + \{h\}\} \tag{4.1}$$

and let

$$Q_h = \exp(QC_{\mathbb{R}})P_h. \tag{4.2}$$

Then the path-connected components of $\mathcal{I}$ are $\{\chi_k Q_g\}_{\left\{\begin{smallmatrix} k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either} \\ g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0 \end{smallmatrix}\right\}}$.

Theorem 4.3. *With the same notation from Theorem 4.2, let*

$${}_mV_h = \{T_f + K \in \mathcal{F} : f \in \chi_{-m}Q_h, K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the path-connected components of $\mathcal{F}$ are $\{{}_mV_h\}_{\left\{\begin{smallmatrix} m\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either} \\ h\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ h=0 \end{smallmatrix}\right\}}$.

In addition to the above theorems, we will also prove the following:

Corollary 4.4. *$\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ both have uncountably many path-connected components.*

5. Classification of $\mathcal{I}$

5.1. **Important lemmas.** First we will show $\operatorname{ind}(fg) = \operatorname{ind}(f) + \operatorname{ind}(g)$ for all $f, g \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. To prove this, we will need the following two propositions found in [3, page 159 and page 164].

Proposition 5.1. *If $\phi \in L^\infty$ and $\psi, \overline{\theta} \in H^\infty$, then $T_\phi T_\psi = T_{\phi\psi}$ and $T_\theta T_\phi = T_{\theta\phi}$.*

Proposition 5.2. *If $\phi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\psi \in L^\infty$, then $T_\phi T_\psi - T_{\phi\psi}, T_\psi T_\phi - T_{\psi\phi} \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$.*

Lemma 5.3. *For any $f, g \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$, $\operatorname{ind}(fg) = \operatorname{ind}(f) + \operatorname{ind}(g)$.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. So we may write $f = h_f + p_f$ and $g = h_g + p_g$ where $h_f, h_g \in H^\infty$ and $p_f, p_g \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Then by Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2, there exist $L_1, L_2 \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_f T_g &= T_{h_f} T_{h_g} + T_{h_f} T_{p_g} + T_{p_f} T_{h_g} + T_{p_f} T_{p_g} \\ &= T_{h_f h_g} + T_{h_f p_g} + L_1 + T_{p_f h_g} + T_{p_f p_g} + L_2 \\ &= T_{h_f h_g + h_f p_g + p_f h_g + p_f p_g} + L_1 + L_2 \\ &= T_{fg} + L_1 + L_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T_f T_g = T_{fg} + J$ for some $J \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$. Then by Section 3 $j(T_{fg}) = j(T_f T_g) = j(T_f) + j(T_g)$. Therefore by (3.1), $\text{ind}(fg) = \text{ind}(f) + \text{ind}(g)$. □

We will also need the following lemmas in order to prove Theorem 4.1. Some of these results will also be used to prove Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3. The first lemma will be used many times.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a Banach algebra, G be the collection of all the invertible elements in $\mathfrak{B}$ and G_0 be the connected component in G which contains the identity. If $\mathfrak{B}$ is commutative then $G_0 = \exp(\mathfrak{B})$.*

From now on for convenience, we will denote the path-connected component in $\mathcal{I}$ containing 1 by G_0. As we will see, being able to calculate $\widetilde{\widetilde{f}}$ for any $f \in H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})$ is necessary. The next lemma shows us how to do this.

Lemma 5.5. *For every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, $\widetilde{\widetilde{f}} = -f + a_f(0)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then by Theorem 1.6.16 [5, page 87], $f = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_f(n)\chi_n$ [a.e] on $\mathbb{T}$. Also from (2.1) and Parseval's identity, $\|\widetilde{f}\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |-i\,\text{sgn}(n) a_f(n)|^2 \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_f(n)|^2 = \|f\|_2^2$ where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the norm on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. It follows that the Hilbert Transform is both bounded and linear on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\widetilde{f}} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (-i\,\text{sgn}(n))^2 a_f(n)\chi_n &= -\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (\text{sgn}(n))^2 a_f(n)\chi_n \\ &= -\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_f(n)\chi_n + a_f(0).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\widetilde{\widetilde{f}} = -f + a_f(0)$. □

An immediate consequence of Lemma 5.5 and (2.8) is

$$\widetilde{VMO} \subseteq VMO \text{ and } \widetilde{VMO_{\mathbb{R}}} \subseteq VMO_{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{5.1}$$

The next lemma will be crucial in classifying $\mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\phi \in QC$. Then $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $\phi, \overline{\phi} \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $\phi g = 1$ for some $g \in QC$. Thus $\overline{\phi}\overline{g} = 1$. Since QC is self-adjoint $\overline{\phi}, \overline{g} \in QC$. Therefore since $QC \subseteq H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})$ we have $\phi, \overline{\phi} \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$.

($\Leftarrow$) Assume $\phi, \overline{\phi} \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. Then for some functions $g, h \in H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})$, $\phi g = \overline{\phi} h = 1$. This means $\overline{\phi}\overline{g} = \overline{\phi} h$. Thus $\overline{g} = h$ and $g \in [H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})] \bigcap \overline{[H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})]}$. So $g \in QC$. Therefore $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$. □

From the lemma, we can see that to fully classify $\mathcal{I}$, we must first classify $(H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. To do this, we will need Lemma 5.8 and the following Theorem from [8].

Theorem 5.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and w be a unimodular function in $(H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$ satisfying $\operatorname{ind}(w) = n$. Then for some $u, v \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$, $w = \chi_n \exp(i(u + \mathcal{C}(v)))$.*

Lemma 5.8. *Let $w \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\exp(w - i\widetilde{w})$ is invertible in H^∞.*

Proof. Let $G : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$G(re^{it}) = \exp\left(\widehat{w}(re^{it}) + i\mathcal{C}\left(w\right)\left(re^{it}\right)\right).$$

Then from Theorem 11.32 [7, page 249] and Theorem 17.16 [7, page 343], G is analytic on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\lim_{r\nearrow 1} G(re^{it})$ exists for almost every $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $G^* : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$G^*(e^{it}) = \begin{cases} \lim_{r\nearrow 1} G(re^{it}) & \text{if } \lim_{r\nearrow 1} G(re^{it}) \text{ exists} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then by Theorem 11.23 [7, page 244], (2.4) and (2.7) above

$$G^* = \exp(w - i\widetilde{w}) \text{ almost everywhere on } \mathbb{T}.$$

It follows that $G^* \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover by the proof of Theorem 11.32 [7, page 249], $G = \widehat{G^*}$. Thus by Lemma 6.43 [3, page 150-151]

$$G(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty} a_{G^*}(n) r^{|n|} \chi_n \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since G is analytic on $\mathbb{D}$, we must have $a_{G^*}(n) = 0$ for all $n < 0$. Hence $G^* \in H^\infty$. Since $w \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ was arbitrary, the above argument also implies $\exp(-w + i\widetilde{w}) \in H^\infty$. Therefore $\exp(w - i\widetilde{w})$ is invertible in H^∞. □

Now we will classify $(H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$.

Proposition 5.9. *Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Then*

$$f \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1} \text{ if and only if } f = \chi_n \exp(ig) \exp(w - i\widetilde{w})$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. Let $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then by (2.8) $g = h - \widetilde{u}$ for some $u, h \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$. Thus $\exp(ig) = \exp(ih)\exp(u - i\widetilde{u})\exp(-u)$ Then from Lemma 5.8, $\exp(w - i\widetilde{w})\exp(ih)\exp(u - i\widetilde{u})\exp(-u) \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. Hence $\chi_n \exp(ig)\exp(w - i\widetilde{w}) \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$.

Assume $f \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. It follows from the continuous functional calculus [12, page 62] that $\ln|f| \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and thus by Lemma 5.8

$\exp\left[\ln|f| - i\left(\widetilde{\ln|f|}\right)\right] \in (H^\infty + \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. It is also true that $\left|\exp\left[\ln|f| - i\left(\widetilde{\ln|f|}\right)\right]\right| = |f|$. Hence by Theorem 5.7, (2.7) and (2.8), $f\exp\left[-\ln|f| + i\left(\widetilde{\ln|f|}\right)\right] = \chi_n \exp(ig)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore $f = \chi_n \exp(w - i\widetilde{w})\exp(ig)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. □

We will now prove that the only integer valued functions in VMO are the constant functions. Recall that for any measurable function $g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$, the essential range of g is the set R_g defined by

$$R_g = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left|\{e^{it} \in \mathbb{T} : |g(e^{it}) - \lambda| < \epsilon\}\right| > 0 \text{ for every } \epsilon > 0\}.$$

Lemma 5.10. *If $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, then R_g is a connected subset of $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. From the definition of R_g, we can see that $g(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ implies $R_g \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Thus it suffices to show if $a, b \in R_g$ with $a < b$ and $a < c < b$, then $c \in R_g$.

Assume $c \notin R_g$. Then for some $\gamma > 0$, $|g^{-1}(c-\gamma, c+\gamma)| = 0$. Choose $0 < \epsilon \leq \gamma$ so that $a < c - \epsilon$ and $c + \epsilon < b$. Let $A = g^{-1}((-\infty, c-\epsilon])$ and $B = g^{-1}([c+\epsilon, \infty))$. Clearly $B \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus A$. Then $a, b \in R_g$ implies $|A| > 0$ and $|\mathbb{T} \setminus A| > 0$. Let $\omega_A : \mathbb{T} \to \{0, 1\}$ be defined by

$$\omega_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

and let I be any subarc of $\mathbb{T}$. Since $\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I \omega_A = \frac{|I\cap A|}{|I|}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I \left|\omega_A - \frac{|I\cap A|}{|I|}\right|^2 = \\
&= \frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I\cap A}\left|\omega_A - \frac{|I\cap A|}{|I|}\right|^2 + \frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I\cap(\mathbb{T}\setminus A)}\left|\omega_A - \frac{|I\cap A|}{|I|}\right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I\cap A}\left|1 - \frac{|I\cap A|}{|I|}\right|^2 + \frac{1}{|I|}\int_{I\cap(\mathbb{T}\setminus A)}\left|0 - \frac{|I\cap A|}{|I|}\right|^2 \\
&= \frac{|I\cap A||I\cap(\mathbb{T}\setminus A)|\left(|I\cap A| + |I\cap(\mathbb{T}\setminus A)|\right)}{|I|^3} \\
&= \frac{|I\cap A||I\cap(\mathbb{T}\setminus A)|}{|I|^2} \\
&= \frac{|I\cap A||I\cap B|}{|I|^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where the latter equality follows from the fact that $|\mathbb{T}\setminus A| = |B| + |g^{-1}((c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon))| = |B|$. By proposition 5.3 [11, page 461],

$\omega_A \notin VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. So for some subarc J of $\mathbb{T}$,

$$\lim_{|J|\to 0} \frac{|J\cap A||J\cap B|}{|J|^2} \neq 0.$$

On the other hand direct calculation yields

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |g-g_I|^2 = \frac{1}{2|I|^2}\int_I\int_I |g(t)-g(x)|^2 dxdt$$

for any subarc I of $\mathbb{T}$ [13, page 276]. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{|I|\to 0}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |g-g_I|^2 &\geq \lim_{|I|\to 0}\frac{1}{2|I|^2}\int_{I\cap A}\int_{I\cap B}|g(t)-g(x)|^2 dxdt\\ &\geq \lim_{|I|\to 0}\frac{(2\epsilon)^2|I\cap A||I\cap B|}{2|I|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

So since $g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\lim_{|J|\to 0}\frac{4\epsilon^2|J\cap A||J\cap B|}{2|J|^2}=0$ and which implies

$$\lim_{|J|\to 0}\frac{|J\cap A||J\cap B|}{|J|^2}=0.$$

This is a contradiction by the above statements. Therefore $c\in R_g$ and R_g is connected.

□

Hence we get the following corollary.

Corollary 5.11. *If $f\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ and integer valued, then f is constant.*

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.**

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 5.4, Lemma 5.6 and Proposition 5.9,

$$\{\chi_n\}_n \exp(QC_{\mathbb{R}})\exp(iVMO_{\mathbb{R}})\subseteq \mathcal{I}.$$

Let $\phi\in\mathcal{I}$. Then by Lemma 5.6, $\phi,\overline{\phi}\in(H^\infty+C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. So by Proposition 5.9,

$$\phi=\chi_n\exp(w-i\widetilde{w})\exp(ig)$$

for some $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, $w\in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. This yields

$$\exp(2w)=\phi\overline{\phi}\in\mathcal{I}.$$

This implies $0\notin\sigma(\exp(2w))$ where $\sigma(\exp(2w))$ is the spectrum of $\exp(2w)$ as an element of QC. Then by Corollary 9.5 [12, page 57] and the fact that $\sigma(\exp(2w))$ is compact, $\sigma(\exp(2w))\subseteq[c,\|\exp(2w)\|_\infty]$ for some $c>0$. Then by the continuous functional calculus [12, page 62], $\frac{1}{2}\ln(\exp(2w))\in QC$. It follows that $w\in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then by (2.6) and (5.1), $\widetilde{w}\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. This means

$$\phi=\chi_n\exp(w)\exp(ih)$$

where $h = \widetilde{w} + g$. Hence

$$\mathcal{I} \subseteq \{\chi_n\}_n \exp\left(QC_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \exp\left(iVMO_{\mathbb{R}}\right).$$

Therefore Theorem 4.1 holds. □

5.3. **Corollary to Theorem 4.1.** For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$I_k = \{\phi \in \mathcal{I} : \mathrm{ind}(\phi) = k\}.$$

Then by Theorem 4.1 and the next lemma, we can completely classify I_k.

Lemma 5.12. *For any $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, $w \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\mathrm{ind}(\chi_n) = n,\ \mathrm{ind}(\exp(ig)) = 0 \text{ and } \mathrm{ind}(\exp(w - i\widetilde{w})) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, $w \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 6.4.3 [3, page 151-152], $\widehat{1} = 1$ and $\widehat{\chi_n}(z) = z^n$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This yields

$$\mathrm{ind}(\chi_n) = n \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.2}$$

Since $w \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\exp(w - i\widetilde{w})$ is invertible in H^∞ by Lemma 5.8. Moreover with $f = \exp(w - i\widetilde{w})$, $T_f \in \mathfrak{F}(H^2)$ by Lemma 10.2 below and T_f is invertible by Proposition 5.1. It follows by Corollary 7.25 [3, page 165] that $j(T_f) = 0$. Hence by (3.1),

$$\mathrm{ind}(\exp(w - i\widetilde{w})) = 0 \text{ for every } w \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{5.3}$$

By (2.8), $\exp(ig) = \exp(i(u - \widetilde{v})) = \exp(iu)\exp(-i\widetilde{v})$ for some $u, v \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$. Now by Proposition 5.9 and the fact that L^∞ is a commutative Banach Algebra with respect to function multiplication, the function $F : [0,1] \to (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$ defined by $F(\lambda) = \exp(-\lambda v)$ is a path in $(H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$ between 1 and $\exp(-v)$. It follows that $\mathrm{ind}(\exp(-v)) = \mathrm{ind}(1) = 0$. Then since $\exp(-i\widetilde{v}) = \exp(v - i\widetilde{v})\exp(-v)$, (5.3) implies $\mathrm{ind}(\exp(-i\widetilde{v})) = 0$. Similarly the function $G : [0,1] \to (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$ defined by $G(\lambda) = \exp(i\lambda u)$ is a path in $(H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$ between $\exp(iu)$ and 1. Hence $\mathrm{ind}(\exp(iu)) = 0$. So by Lemma 5.3

$$\mathrm{ind}(\exp(ig)) = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Therefore $\mathrm{ind}(\chi_n) = n$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\exp(ig)) = \mathrm{ind}(\exp(w - i\widetilde{w})) = 0$. □

Corollary 5.13.

$$\begin{aligned} &I_0 = \exp\left(QC_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \exp\left(iVMO_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \\ &\text{and } I_k = \chi_k I_0 \ \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. Let $\chi_n \exp(ig)\exp(w) \in \mathcal{I}$ where $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, $w \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $\exp(ig)\exp(w) = \exp(i(g+\widetilde{w}))\exp(w - i\widetilde{w})$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, Lemma 5.12 yields

$$\operatorname{ind}(\chi_n \exp(ig)\exp(w)) = k \text{ if and only if } n = k.$$

Therefore for any $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\chi_n \exp(ig)\exp(w) \in I_k$ if and only if $n = k$ and (5.5) holds. □

It is implied from (5.5) that in order to fully understand the sets $\{I_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, it suffices to study I_0. This is the approach we will use to classify the path-connected components of $\mathcal{I}$.

6. Path-connected components

We will also need the following lemma in order to classify the path-connected components of $\mathcal{I}$ and of $\mathcal{F}$. The lemma follows from the definition of the path-connected components of a topological space [6, page 160].

Lemma 6.1. *Let X be a topological space and $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Omega}$ be a collection of subspaces of X. Then $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Omega}$ are the path-connected components of X if all of the following conditions hold:*

(1) $X = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\Omega} A_\alpha$.
(2) $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Omega}$ are pairwise disjoint.
(3) $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Omega}$ are all path-connected in X.
(4) Any nonempty path-connected subspace of X intersects only one A_α from $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Omega}$.

6.1. Special Case I_0.

6.1.1. *First the Unimodular functions.* Let U_0 be the set of all unimodular functions in I_0. Then by Corollary 5.13,

$$U_0 = \exp\left(iVMO_{\mathbb{R}}\right). \tag{6.1}$$

We will first prove $\{P_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ are the path-connected components of U_0 where for any $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, P_g is defined by (4.1).

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\exp(ig), \exp(ih) \in U_0$ where $g, h \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\exp(ig)$ is path-connected to $\exp(ih)$ in U_0 if and only if $g - h \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. Let $\exp(ig)$ and $\exp(ih)$ be as above. Clearly $\exp(ig)$ is path-connected to $\exp(ih)$ in U_0 if and only if $\exp(i(g-h))$ is path-connected to 1 in U_0. So it suffices to show $\exp(i(g-h))$ is path-connected to 1 in U_0 if and only if $g - h \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$.

($\Rightarrow$) Assume $\exp(i(g-h))$ is path-connected to 1 in U_0. Recall G_0 is the connected component of $\mathcal{I}$ containing 1. Then $\exp(i(g-h)) \in G_0$ and Lemma 5.4 implies

$$\exp(i(g-h)) = \exp(u+iv)$$

for some $u+iv \in QC$ where u and v are real-valued. It follows that

$$1 = \exp(u) \text{ and } \exp(i(g-h)) = \exp(iv).$$

Thus we have

$$u = 0 \text{ and } g - h = v + 2\pi\sigma \text{ for some } \sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{Z}. \tag{6.2}$$

Since $u+iv \in QC$, direct calculation and (2.6) imply $v \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence by (6.2), $\sigma \in VMO$. Then by Corollary 5.11, σ is constant. Therefore $g - h \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$.

($\Leftarrow$) Now assume $g - h \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since QC is a commutative Banach Algebra, the function $G : [0,1] \to U_0$ defined by $G(\lambda) = \exp(i\lambda(g-h))$ is continuous on $[0,1]$. It follows that G is a path between $\exp(i(g-h))$ and 1 in U_0. Therefore $\exp(i(g-h))$ is path-connected to 1 in U_0.

□

Lemma 6.3. *The path-connected components of U_0 are* $\{P_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$

Proof. From Lemma 6.2,

$$P_g \text{ is path-connected in } U_0 \text{ for all } g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Let $\exp(ih) \in P_w \cap P_q$ with $h \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, $P_w, P_q \in \{P_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$. Then by (4.1), $h-w, h-q \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence $w - q \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$. This means $P_w = P_q$. It follows that $\{P_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ is pairwise disjoint.

By (4.1) and (6.1) $P_g \subseteq U_0$ for all $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. This yields $P_0 \cup \bigcup_{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}} P_g \subseteq U_0$. Let $\exp(ih) \in U_0$. Then by definition of U_0, $h \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $h \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, then by (2.6), $h \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus $\exp(ih) \in P_0$. If $h \notin L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $\exp(ih) \in P_h \subseteq \bigcup_{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}} P_g$. So $U_0 \subseteq P_0 \cup \bigcup_{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}} P_g$. Thus

$$U_0 = P_0 \cup \bigcup_{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}} P_g.$$

Let V be any nonempty path-connected subspace of U_0 such that $V \cap P_h \neq \emptyset$ and $V \cap P_w \neq \emptyset$ for some $P_w, P_h \in \{P_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$. Then since $\exp(ih) \in P_h$ and $\exp(iw) \in P_w$, $\exp(ih)$ is path-connected

to $\exp(iw)$ in U_0. So by Lemma 6.2 $w - h \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $P_h = P_w$. Therefore by Lemma 6.1 $\{P_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ are the path-connected components of U_0.

□

6.1.2. *Path-connected components in I_0.* We can now completely classify the path-connected components of I_0.

Theorem 6.4. *The path-connected components of I_0 are $\{Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ where Q_g is defined by (4.2) for any $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since QC is a Banach Algebra, the function $F : [0,1] \to \exp(QC_{\mathbb{R}})$ defined by $F(\lambda) = \exp(x + \lambda(y - x))$ is a path between $\exp(x)$ and $\exp(y)$. Thus $\exp(QC_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a path-connected subset of $\mathcal{I}$. So by Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 5.13,

$$Q_g \text{ is path-connected in } I_0 \text{ for all } g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Let $\exp(w)\exp(ih) \in Q_q \cap Q_y$, where $w \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$, $h \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $Q_q, Q_y \in \{Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$. Then for some $u \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $v \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\exp(iv) \in P_q$, $\exp(w)\exp(ih) = \exp(u)\exp(iv)$. Then $w = u$ and $h - v = 2\pi\eta$ for some integer valued function η on $\mathbb{T}$. By Corollary 5.11, η is constant and $\exp(ih) \in P_q$. Similarly $\exp(ih) \in P_y$. Then by Lemma 6.3, $P_q = P_y$. This means $\{Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ is pairwise disjoint.

By Corollary 5.13 and Lemma 6.3,

$$I_0 = \exp(QC_{\mathbb{R}})U_0 = \exp(QC_{\mathbb{R}})P_0 \cup \bigcup_{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}} \exp(QC_{\mathbb{R}})P_g.$$

Hence by (4.2),

$$I_0 = Q_0 \cup \bigcup_{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}} Q_g.$$

Let V be any nonempty path-connected subspace of I_0 satisfying $V \cap Q_h \neq \emptyset$ and $V \cap Q_w \neq \emptyset$ for some $Q_h, Q_w \in \{Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$. Then since $\exp(ih) \in Q_h$ and $\exp(iw) \in Q_w$, $\exp(ih)$ is path-connected to $\exp(iw)$ in I_0 by some path F. This means $\frac{F}{|F|}$ is a path in U_0 between $\exp(iw)$ and $\exp(ih)$. So by Lemma 6.3, $P_h = P_w$. Thus $Q_h = Q_w$. Therefore by Lemma 6.1 $\{Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ are the path-connected components of I_0.

□

6.2. **Case of I_k with $k \neq 0$.** Now that we have classified the path-connected components of I_0, we can classify the path-connected components of I_k for any $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. This part of the classification is very easy due to the classification of I_0 and Proposition 6.5 below.

Proposition 6.5. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, I_k is homeomorphic to I_0.*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Gamma_k : I_0 \to I_k$ and $\Theta_k : I_k \to I_0$ be defined by

$$\Gamma_k(\phi) = \chi_k \phi \text{ and } \Theta_k(\psi) = \chi_{-k}\psi.$$

Both Γ_k and Θ_k are well-defined by Corollary 5.13. Since QC is a Banach Algebra, Γ_k and Θ_k are both continuous on their domains. Moreover since $(\chi_k)^{-1} = \chi_{-k}$ with respect to multiplication, we have $\Gamma_k^{-1} = \Theta_k$. Therefore Γ_k is a homeomorphism and I_k is homeomorphic to I_0. □

Theorem 6.6. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the path-connected components of I_k are $\{\chi_k Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$.*

Proof. Let Γ_k be as in Proposition 6.5. Then

$$\Gamma_k(Q_g) = \chi_k Q_g \text{ for all } g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Therefore a straightforward calculation using Γ_k and Θ_k along with Theorem 6.4 , Proposition 6.5 and Lemma 6.1, $\{\chi_k Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ are the path-connected components of I_k. □

7. Proof of Theorem 4.2

Proof. By Theorem 6.6,

$$\{\chi_k Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either} \\ g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}} \text{ is a collection of path-connected sets in } \mathcal{I}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{\left\{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either} \\ g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or } g=0}\right\}} \chi_k Q_g.$$

Let $\chi_p \exp(w)\exp(ih) \in \chi_n Q_q \cap \chi_m Q_y$ for some $w \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$, $h \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\chi_m Q_y, \chi_n Q_q \in \{\chi_k Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either} \\ g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$. Then by by Theorem 6.6, $\chi_p \exp(w)\exp(ih) \in I_n \cap I_m$. It follows that $\operatorname{ind}(\chi_p \exp(w)\exp(ih)) = m$ and $\operatorname{ind}(\chi_p \exp(w)\exp(ih)) = n$. So by Lemma 5.12 $m = n = p$ and by Theorem 6.6, $\chi_n Q_g = \chi_m Q_y$. That is $\{\chi_k Q_g\}_{\left\{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either} \\ g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ is pairwise disjoint.

Let W be a nonempty path-connected subspace of $\mathcal{I}$ so that $W \cap \chi_n Q_q \neq \emptyset$ and $W \cap \chi_m Q_y \neq \emptyset$ for some $\chi_m Q_y, \chi_n Q_q \in \{\chi_k Q_g\}_{\left\{\begin{smallmatrix} k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either} \\ g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0 \end{smallmatrix}\right\}}$. Then since $\chi_n \exp(iq) \in \chi_n Q_q$ and $\chi_m \exp(iy) \in \chi_m Q_y$, $\chi_n \exp(iq)$ is path-connected to $\chi_m \exp(iy)$ in $\mathcal{I}$. Let $F : [0,1] \to \mathcal{I}$ be the path. Since ind is continuous on $\mathcal{I}$, we must have $m = n = \text{ind}(F(\lambda))$ for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$. Hence $\chi_n \exp(iq)$ is path-connected to $\chi_n \exp(iy)$ by F in I_n. Then from Theorem 6.6, $Q_y = Q_q$. Therefore $\chi_m Q_y = \chi_n Q_q$ and by Lemma 6.1, $\{\chi_k Q_g\}_{\left\{\begin{smallmatrix} k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either} \\ g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0 \end{smallmatrix}\right\}}$ are the path-connected components of $\mathcal{I}$.

□

8. Uncountably many path-connected components of $\mathcal{I}$

In this section we will prove $\mathcal{I}$ has uncountably many path-connected components. To do this we will construct an explicit example of a function H such that $H \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$. We will also use H later on to show $\mathcal{F}$ has uncountably many path-connected components. To construct H, we will need two following theorems from [14, page 182] and [10, page 101] respectively .

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose $a_v \geq a_{v+1}$ and $a_v \to 0$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the uniform convergence of $\sum_{v=1}^\infty a_v \sin(vx)$ is $va_v \to 0$.*

Theorem 8.2. *If the coefficients a_n and b_n of the series*

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \cos(nx), \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n \sin(nx)$$

are positive and decrease monotonically to zero as $n \to \infty$, then both series converge uniformly on any interval $[a,b]$ which does not contain points of the form $x = 2k\pi$ $(k = 0, \pm 1 \pm 2, \ldots)$.

Now we will construct H.

Proposition 8.3. *There exists a function $H \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $H \notin L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. For each $N \geq 2$ let $g_N : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $g_N(x) = \sum_{k=2}^N \frac{\sin(kx)}{k \ln(k)}$. By Theorem 8.1, $\{g_N\}_{N=2}^\infty$ converges uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $g(x) = \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{\sin(kx)}{k \ln(k)}$. Then $g \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ and by (2.8), $\widetilde{g} \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Direct calculation shows $\widetilde{g_N}(x) = -\sum_{k=2}^{N} \frac{\cos(kx)}{k\ln(k)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that by the proof of Lemma 5.5, the Hilbert transform is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ with respect to the $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. So by the preceding paragraph, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \|\widetilde{g_N} - \widetilde{g}\|_2 = 0$. Also by Theorem 8.2, $-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kx)}{k\ln(k)}$ converges uniformly on each closed subinterval of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2k\pi\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Hence $\widetilde{g}(x) = -\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kx)}{k\ln(k)}$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that since $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k\ln(k)} = \infty$, $\{\widetilde{g_N}(0)\}_{N=2}^{\infty}$ is not bounded. This means $\{\widetilde{g_N}\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is not uniformly bounded in N and x.

Let $H : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kx)}{k\ln(k)} & \text{if } \widetilde{g}(x) = -\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kx)}{k\ln(k)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then $H \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. Suppose $H \in L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\sigma_N : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $\sigma_N(x) = \frac{1}{2(N+1)\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H(x-t) \left(\frac{\sin\left(\frac{(N+1)t}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right)^2 dt$. Then $|\sigma_N(x)| \leq \|H\|_{\infty}$ for every $N \geq 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}$ [5, page 63]. Moreover by [5, page 60] $\sigma_N(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \widetilde{g_N}(x)$. Furthermore a straightforward induction argument shows $\widetilde{g_N}(x) - \sigma_N(x) = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{\cos(jx)}{\ln(j)}$. Hence for some $M_1 > 0$, $|\widetilde{g_N}(x) - \sigma_N(x)| \leq M_1$ for all $N \geq 2$ and all $x \in \mathbb{T}$. It follows that $\{\widetilde{g_N}(x)\}_{N=2}^{\infty}$ is uniformly bounded in x and N, which is a contradiction by the above statements. So $H \notin L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore $H \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

□

Theorem 8.4. *$\mathcal{I}$ has uncountably many path-connected components.*

Proof. Let H be as in Proposition 8.3. Then by (2.6), $H \notin QC_{\mathbb{R}}$. By (4.1), (4.2) and Corollary 5.11,

$$Q_g = Q_q \text{ if and only if } g - q \in QC_{\mathbb{R}}$$

for any $g, q \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence for all $\beta, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\beta \neq \sigma \text{ implies } Q_{\beta H} \neq Q_{\sigma H}.$$

Thus $\{Q_{\beta H}\}_{\beta\in\mathbb{R}}$ is an uncountable collection of path-connected components in I_0 and I_0 has uncountably many path-connected components. Therefore by Theorem 4.2, $\mathcal{I}$ has uncountably many path-connected components. □

Remark 8.5. In fact by Theorem 6.6 and Theorem 8.4, I_k has uncountable many path-connected components for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

9. Classification of $\mathcal{F}$ and the Path-connected components of $\mathcal{F}$

Here we will classify $\mathcal{F}$ and the path-connected components of $\mathcal{F}$. As we will see, the classification of the path-connected components of $\mathcal{F}$ is based on the classification of the path-connected components of $\mathcal{I}$. So one can really say that Theorem 4.3 is a corollary to Theorem 4.2. We will also show that $\mathcal{F}$ has uncountably many path-connected components.

For this section, we will use the following lemma which holds by proposition 7.12 [3, page 161] and the proof of proposition 7.11 [3, page 161].

Lemma 9.1. *Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and $K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$. Then $\|T_f + K\| \geq \|T_f\|$.*

The above implies that the only compact Toeplitz operator on H^2 is the zero operator. That is, $T_f \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ if and only if $f = 0$.

10. Classification of $\mathcal{F}$

Recall from above that $\mathfrak{I}(QC)$ is the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L}(H^2)$ generated by $\{T_f\}_{f\in QC}$. The next lemma is well-known in the literature.

Lemma 10.1. $\mathfrak{I}(QC) = \{T_f + K : f \in QC, K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)\}$

We will also need the following lemma, which is Corollary 7.34 [3, page 168].

Lemma 10.2. *Let $f \in H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T})$. Then $T_f \in \mathfrak{F}(H^2)$ if and only if $f \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$.*

We can now prove the following Theorem which describes $\mathcal{F}$ completely.

Theorem 10.3. $\mathcal{F} = \{T_f + K : f \in \mathcal{I}, K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 10.1, $\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{F}(H^2) \cap \{T_f + K : f \in QC, K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)\}$. Also by proposition 5.15 [3, page 113], $T_f + K \in \mathfrak{F}(H^2)$ if and only if $T_f \in \mathfrak{F}(H^2)$ for any $K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ and any $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. So it suffices to show $T_f \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{I}$.

($\Leftarrow$) If $f \in \mathcal{I}$ then $f \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. So by Lemma 10.2, $T_f \in \mathfrak{F}(H^2)$. Then by definition of $\mathcal{F}$ and Lemma 10.1, $T_f \in \mathcal{F}$.

($\Rightarrow$) Suppose $T_f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $T_f \in \mathfrak{F}(H^2)$ and $T_f = T_g + K$ for some $g \in QC$ and $K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$. Then $T_{f-g} \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ which means $f = g$ by Lemma 9.1. So $f \in QC$. Also, by proposition 5.15 [3, page 115], $T_{\overline{f}} \in \mathfrak{F}(H^2)$. Then by Lemma 10.2, $f, \overline{f} \in (H^\infty + C(\mathbb{T}))^{-1}$. Therefore by Lemma 5.6, $f \in \mathcal{I}$. □

11. Path-connected components of the elements of $\mathcal{F}$ of index k

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$E_k = \{T_f + K \in \mathcal{F} : j(T_f + K) = k\}.$$

By Section 3,

$$j(T_f + K) = j(T_f) = -\operatorname{ind}(f) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and for all } K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2).$$

Hence

$$E_k = \{T_f + K \in \mathcal{F} : f \in I_{-k}, K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)\}. \tag{11.1}$$

Let $\zeta : L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathfrak{L}(H^2)$ be the map defined by $\zeta(f) = T_f$. Clearly ζ is well-defined. Moreover by proposition 7.4 [3, page 159] and Corollary 7.8 [3, page 160], ζ is *-linear and an isometry. Let $\xi = \zeta|_{\mathcal{I}}$. Notice ξ is continuous on $\mathcal{I}$. We will now use ξ and Theorem 6.6 to classify the path-connected components of E_k. Recall for each $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, ${}_kV_g$ is defined by (4.3).

Theorem 11.1. *The path-connected components of E_k are*

$$\{{}_kV_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}.$$

Proof. As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 10.1, $\mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ is a two-sided *-ideal in $\mathfrak{L}(H^2)$. This implies $\mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ is convex in $\mathfrak{L}(H^2)$. Also for any $g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$${}_kV_g = \xi(\chi_{-k}Q_g) + \mathfrak{LC}(H^2). \tag{11.2}$$

It then follows from Theorem 6.6 that

$${}_kV_g \text{ is path-connected in } E_k \text{ for all } g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

By Theorem 6.6 and (4.3), we can see that

$$E_k = \bigcup_{\left\{\substack{g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}} {}_kV_g.$$

Let $T_{f_0} + K_0 \in {}_kV_q \cap {}_kV_h$ for some $f_0 \in I_{-k}$, $K_0 \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ and ${}_kV_q, {}_kV_h \in \{{}_kV_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$. Then for some $f_1 \in \chi_{-k}Q_h$ and $K_1 \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$, $T_{f_0} + K_0 = T_{f_1} + K_1$. Thus by Lemma 9.1, $f_0 = f_1$ and $f_0 \in \chi_{-k}Q_h$. Similarly, $f_0 \in \chi_{-k}Q_q$. Then by Theorem 6.6, ${}_kV_q = {}_kV_h$. Hence $\{{}_kV_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ is pairwise disjoint.

Let W be a nonempty path-connected subspace of E_k with ${}_kV_h \cap W \neq \emptyset$ and ${}_kV_q \cap W \neq \emptyset$ for some ${}_kV_h, {}_kV_q \in \{{}_kV_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$. Let

$f_h = \chi_{-k}\exp(ih)$ and $f_q = \chi_{-k}\exp(iq)$. Then since $T_{f_h} \in {}_kV_h$ and $T_{f_q} \in {}_kV_q$, T_{f_h} is path-connected to T_{f_q} in E_k. Let $H : [0,1] \to E_k$ be the path between T_{f_q} and T_{f_h}. For each $\lambda \in [0,1]$, let $T_{f_\lambda} + K_\lambda = H(\lambda)$ where $f_0 = f_q$, $f_1 = f_h$, $K_\lambda \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ for each $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and K_0, K_1 both equal the zero operator. Then by Lemma 9.1,

$$\|f_\lambda\|_\infty = \|T_{f_\lambda}\| \leq \|H(\lambda)\| \text{ for all } \lambda \in [0,1].$$

It follows that f_q is path-connected to f_h in I_{-k}. Then from Theorem 6.6, $\chi_{-k}Q_h = \chi_{-k}Q_q$. Hence ${}_kV_q = {}_kV_h$ and by Lemma 6.1, $\{{}_kV_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or}\\ g=0}\right\}}$ are the path-connected components of E_k.

□

12. Proof of Theorem 4.3

Proof of Theorem 4.3. By Theorem 11.1,

$${}_kV_g \text{ is path-connected in } \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ and for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By Theorem 10.3, (11.1) and the fact that $\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} I_k$, $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} E_k$. Thus another application of Theorem 11.1 yields

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{\left\{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either}\\ g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or}\\ g=0}\right\}} {}_kV_g.$$

Suppose for some $f \in \mathcal{I}$ and $K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$, $T_f + K \in {}_kV_y \cap {}_mV_h$ for some ${}_kV_y, {}_mV_h \in \{{}_kV_g\}_{\left\{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either}\\ g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or}\\ g=0}\right\}}$. Then for some $q \in \chi_{-k}Q_y$ and $L \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$ we have $T_f + K = T_q + L$. Then by Lemma 9.1 $f = q$ and $f \in \chi_{-k}Q_y$. Similarly $f \in \chi_{-m}Q_h$. Then by Theorem 4.2, $\chi_{-k}Q_y = \chi_{-m}Q_h$. It follows that ${}_kV_y = {}_mV_h$ and $\{{}_kV_g\}_{\left\{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either}\\ g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or}\\ g=0}\right\}}$ is pairwise-disjoint.

Suppose W is a nonempty path-connected subspace of $\mathcal{F}$ such that $W \cap {}_kV_y \neq \emptyset$ and $W \cap {}_mV_h \neq \emptyset$ for some ${}_kV_y, {}_mV_h \in \{{}_kV_g\}_{\left\{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either}\\ g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or}\\ g=0}\right\}}$. Let $f_y \in Q_y$ and $f_h \in Q_h$. Then since $T_{\chi_{-k}f_y} + K \in {}_kV_y$ and $T_{\chi_{-m}f_h} + K \in {}_mV_h$ where $K \in \mathfrak{LC}(H^2)$, $T_{\chi_{-k}f_y} + K$ is path-connected to $T_{\chi_{-m}f_h} + K$ in $\mathcal{F}$. Then since j is continuous on $\mathcal{F}$ and integer valued, $j(T_{\chi_{-k}f_y} + K) = j(T_{\chi_{-m}f_h} + K)$. That is $m = k$. Then by Theorem 11.1, ${}_kV_y = {}_mV_h$. Therefore by Lemma 6.1, $\{{}_kV_h\}_{\left\{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either}\\ g\in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or}\\ g=0}\right\}}$ are the path-connected components of $\mathcal{F}$.

□

13. Uncountably many path-connected components of $\mathcal{F}$

Corollary 13.1. *$\mathcal{F}$ has uncountably many path-connected components.*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and assume for some $h, g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, ${}_kV_h = {}_kV_g$. Then by Lemma 9.1, $\chi_{-k}Q_h = \chi_{-k}Q_g$. Let H be as in Proposition 8.3. It follows from the proof of Theorem 8.4 that

$$\beta \neq \gamma \text{ implies } {}_kV_{\beta H} \neq {}_kV_{\gamma H}$$

for all $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\{{}_kV_g\}_{\left\{\substack{g \in VMO_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ or} \\ g=0}\right\}}$ is uncountable. So E_k has uncountably many path-connected components. Therefore $\mathcal{F}$ has uncountably many path-connected components. □

Remark 13.2. In fact since k in the proof of Corollary 13.1 was arbitrary, E_k has uncountable many path-connected components for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank his dissertation committee for their help in writing this article: Dr. Jingbo Xia, Dr. Lewis Coburn and Dr. Ching Chou.

References

[1] L. Coburn, "The C*-Algebra Generated By An Isometry. II" *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 137, (1969): 213-214.
[2] J.Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*. 2nd edition. New York: Springer 2010.
[3] R. Douglas, *Banach Algebras Techniques in Operator Theory*. 2nd edition. New York: Springer 1998.
[4] J. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*. New York: Academic Press Inc, 1981.
[5] S.Krantz, *A Panorama Of Harmonic Analysis*. Washington D.C: The Mathematical Association Of America, 1999.
[6] J. Munkres, *Topology*. 2nd edition. New Jersey: Prentince Hall Inc, 2000.
[7] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*. 3rd edition. McGraw-Hill Science/Engineering/Math, 1987.
[8] D.Sarason, "Algebras Of Functions On The Unit Circle." *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*. 79 no.2 (1973): 286-294.
[9] D.Sarason, "Functions Of Vanishing Mean Oscillation." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*. 207 (1975): 395-396.
[10] G.Tolstov *Fourier Series*. General Publishing Company, 1962.
[11] J. Xia, "Bounded functions of vanishing mean oscillation on compact metric spaces." *Journal of Functional Analysis*. 209, (1004): 461-462.
[12] K.Zhu, *An Introduction to Operator Algebras*. Boca Raton: CRC Press Inc 2000.
[13] K.Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*. 2nd edition.Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 138. Providence: American Mathematical Society, 2007.
[14] A. Zygmund *Trigonometric Series*. Cambridge University Press, 1968.

244 Mathematics Building, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260
E-mail address: adamoren@buffalo.edu